

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO**

JOHN VIGIL,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIV. NO. 18-829 SCY/KBM

FRANCES TWEED, ET AL.,

Defendants.

ORDER FOR PARTIES TO FILE LOCAL RULE 7.4 NOTICES


This matter comes before the Court sua sponte. The County Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss on December 2, 2019. Doc. 49. Plaintiff filed a late response on December 18, 2019. Doc. 51. Absent agreement for an extension, the time for the County Defendants to file a reply has expired. D.N.M.LR-Civ. 7.4(a). Before close of business on Friday, January 10, 2020, the County Defendants shall file either a Local Rule 7.4(a) notice that all parties have agreed to an extension and identifying the new deadline, a motion for additional time to file a reply, or a Local Rule 7.4(e) notice that briefing is complete.

Additionally, the State Defendants filed a Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings on December 6, 2019. Plaintiff has not filed a response and, absent an extension agreement, his time to do has expired. D.N.M.LR-Civ. 7.4(a). The State Defendants have not filed a notice of completion of briefing. D.N.M.LR-Civ. 7.4(e). Before close of business on Friday, January 10, 2020, Plaintiff shall file either a Local Rule 7.4(a) notice that all parties have agreed to an extension and identifying the new deadline, a motion for additional time to file his response, or a notice that he does not intend to respond to the Motion.

This is not the first time the Court has ordered Plaintiff to file a Local Rule 7.4 notice

after failing to the notify the Court of an extension. Doc. 35. The Court again reminds the parties of their duties under Local Rule 7.4. *See* D.N.M.LR-Civ. 7.4(a) (“For each agreed extension, the party requesting the extension must file a notice identifying the new deadline and the document (response or reply) to be filed.”); D.N.M.LR-Civ. 7.4(e) (“Upon completion of briefing, the movant must file a notice certifying that the motion is ready for decision and identifying the motion and all related filings by date of filing and docket number.”).

IT IS SO ORDERED.


STEVEN C. YARBROUGH
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
Presiding by consent